Delegated Decision Notification (DDN)

Lead director ⁱ :	Neil Evans, Director of Resources and Housing			
Subject ⁱⁱ :	Enter into the written Stage 1 Design Services Agreement with Willmott			
	Partnership Homes Limited to deliver new build Council Housing at the			
	Beeches (Gipton) and Nevilles (Osmondthorpe) sites and continue the two			
	stage process to further develop the schemes.			
Decision details ⁱⁱⁱ :	The Director of Resources and Housing approved the decision to enter into the written Stage 1 Design Services Agreement (DSA) with Willmott Partnership Homes Limited and the continuation of the two stage tender process to further develop the schemes. Appendix 1 and 2 to this report has been marked as exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rules 10.4 (3) on the basis that it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) which, if disclosed to the public, would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of that person or of the Council. The information is exempt if and for so long as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information. In this case the report author considers that it is in the public interest to maintain the exemption			
Type of decision:	 Key decision (executive) Is the decision eligible for call-in?^{iv} Is the decision exempt from call-in?^v Yes No Yes No Significant operational decision (council or executive^{vi} – not subject to call-in) Administrative decision (council or executive^{vii} – not subject to publication or call-in) 			
Notice ^{viii} or call- in (key decisions	Date the decision was published in the list of forthcoming key decisions:			
only):	If not on the list of forthcoming key decisions for at least 28 clear days, the reason why it would be impracticable to delay the decision: If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:			
Affected wards:	Gipton and Harehills, Temple Newsam			
Details of	Executive Member Receives regular Interest disclosed? ^{ix}			
consultation	Councillor D Coupar briefing updates on the scheme X No			

	Ward Councillor Temple Newsam Councillors D Coupar, M Lyons, H Hayden Gipton and Harehills: Councillors A Hussain, K Maqsood, S Arif Others [×] please	Date consulted: 10 July 2017 and October 2017 30 June 2017 and October 2017 Date consulted:	No	
	specify:		 Yes Date of dispensation: No 	
Capital injection approval required:	Injection approval required? Yes No (If yes, you must complete the Approval box below)			
Capital Injection approval		Name: Title:	Capital scheme number: Nevilles 16692/NEV/000 Beech Walk 16692-BWG-000. Beech Mount 16692-BMG-000. Date:	
Contract details (procurement decisions only)	Contract reference number		Contract title	
			Supplier	
Implementation (key decisions only)	Officer accountable for Timescales for impler			
Contact person:	Liz Milne		Telephone number ^{xii} : 3785970	
Decision maker or authorised signatory ^{xiii} :	R.N. Evar	75	Date: 2 nd May 2018	
	Neil Evans, Director o Housing	of Resources and		

^v If the decision is exempt from call-in a reason must be provided in the 'notice or call-in' box and in the report. The call-in period expires at 5pm on the 5th working day after publication. Scrutiny support will notify decision makers of matters called-in no later than 12 noon on the 6th working day.

^{vi} If the decision would have been a key decision but for an exception set out in article 13.4(b), please refer to the connected key decision in the decision details (either by the title or the reference number).

^{vii} Administrative decisions do not need to be published on the council's website but this form may be used for internal recording of the decision.

vⁱⁱⁱ All key decisions should appear on the list of forthcoming key decisions for 28 clear days before the decision can be taken. If 28 clear days' notice has not been provided, a reason must be provided here.
 ^{ix} No member having a disclosable pecuniary interest or officer having an interest in any matter (whether pecuniary or otherwise required to be declared) should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here. Any dispensation in place in relation to the matter should also be recorded here.

^x This may include other elected members, officers, stakeholders and the local community.

^{xi} Please include proposed timescales for commencement and / or completion of implementation as appropriate.

^{xii} Please insert a complete telephone number whether land line or mobile, rather than an extension number so that you can be contacted from outside the council.

^{xiii} The signatory must be duly authorised by the lead director to make a decision in accordance with the relevant sub-delegation scheme. It is not acceptable for the signature to be 'pp' for the authorised signatory. For key decisions only, the date of the authorised signature signifies that, at the time, the officer was content that the decision should be taken. However, should representations be received following public availability of reports the signatory will consider the effect which such representations should have on the final decision.

ⁱ The leader of the council may also make executive decisions and should be specified as the lead director where appropriate.

ⁱⁱ A brief title should be inserted here. If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list.

ⁱⁱⁱ Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding on the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or exempt information.

^{iv} See the executive and decision making procedure rules for eligibility. The decision will not be eligible for call-in if it has already been subject to call-in i.e. considered by the relevant scrutiny board. This includes a decision which has been modified by the decision maker following a recommendation by a scrutiny board after call-in of the earlier decision.